arXiv:1611.02369v1 [hep-ph] 8 Nov 2016

Prediction of anomalous $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions

Bo Wang* and Xiang Liu†
Research Center for Hadron and CSR Physics, Lanzhou University and Institute of Modern Physics of CAS, Lanzhou 730000, China
School of Physical Science and Technology, Lanzhou University, Lanzhou 730000, China

Dian-Yong Chen‡
Department of Physics, Southeast University, Nanjing 210094, China

In this work, we study the hadronic loop contribution to the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ ($J = 1, 2, 3$) transitions. We predict that the branching ratios of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta$, $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$ and $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$ can reach up to $(0.5 \sim 5.1) \times 10^{-3}$, $(0.7 \sim 7.5) \times 10^{-3}$ and $(0.9 \sim 9.6) \times 10^{-4}$, respectively. Since these predicted hadronic transitions of $\Upsilon(5S)$ are comparable with these observed $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$), we suggest future experiment like Belle and BelleII to carry out the search for these anomalous $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions.

PACS numbers: 14.40.Pq, 13.25.Gv

I. INTRODUCTION

Since 2007, the Belle Collaboration has focused on the hadronic transitions of higher bottomonium $\Upsilon(5S)$ experimentally. With the experimental progresses, more and more puzzling phenomena have been reported, which include anomalous $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($n = 1, 2, 3$) [1], $\Upsilon(5S) \to \chi_{bJ}\omega$ [2], and the observed bottomonium-like states $Z_b(10610)/Z_b(10650)$ in the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(5S) \to h_b(mP)\pi^+\pi^-$ ($m = 1, 2$) processes [3].

The former experience of studying these hadronic transitions of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ shows that the hadronic loop effect plays important role to solve these puzzles [4–11]. In Ref. [4], the hadronic loop mechanism was proposed to explain the large branching ratio of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$. In addition, the energy distribution of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ was studied under this framework [5]. Later, by chiral Lagrangian approach, Simonov *et al.* [6] also confirmed the conclusion made in Ref. [4]. Chen *et al.* [7] further developed the hadronic loop mechanism by considering the interference between the direct hidden-charm dipion decay and the transition via the intermediate hadronic loop, by which the anomalously large production rates and the lineshapes of the differential width of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ can be reproduced. However, their study indicates a new puzzle, i.e., the obtained differential width $d\Gamma(\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-)/d\cos\theta$ contradict with the experimental data while other results are well in accord with the data [4]. In Ref. [8], Chen and Liu found that introducing the $Z_b(10610)/Z_b(10650)$ contribution can naturally explain this new puzzle existing in the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ transition, which also stimulated the proposal of initial single pion emission mechanism in the hidden-bottom dipion decays of $\Upsilon(5S)$ [9]. Besides these studies of the hidden-bottom dipion decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$, the hadronic loop mechanism has been applied to investigate $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\eta$ [10] and to explain the observed $\Upsilon(5S) \to \chi_{bJ}\omega$ decays [11]. As an important non-perturbative behaviors of QCD, the coupled-channel effect becomes obvious especially for higher charmonium/bottomonium. Here, we need to emphasize that the hadronic loop mechanism is as an equivalent description of the coupled-channel effect [4, 5, 7–14].

It is obvious that it is not the end of whole story of the transitions of the $\Upsilon(5S)$. Considering the above research status of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ hadronic transitions, we have a reason to believe that the hadronic loop mechanism can contribute to the transitions $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ ($J = 1, 2, 3$), where the $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ denotes the D-wave bottomonia [15–17]. In this work, we perform the realistic study of the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transition by introducing the hadronic loop contribution composed of bottom mesons. And then, the branching ratios of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ are predicted, by which we can learn that there may exist anomalous behavior of the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions. Experimental search for these predicted $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ will be an intriguing research issue, especially for Belle and forthcoming BelleII. Until now, the $\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$ has been reported in experiments [18, 19]. However, its partners like $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$ and $\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$ are still missing in experiment. Thus, as a key point of exploring $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$, how to identify the $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$ and the $\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$ will be an important research topic. In the following sections, we will give more discussions of this point.

This paper is organized as follows. After introduction, we present the detailed deduction of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ by considering the hadronic loop contribution in Sec. II. And then, the corresponding numerical results will be given in Sec. III. The paper ends with the discussion and conclusion.

II. HADRONIC LOOP EFFECT ON THE $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ DECAY

For calculating the hadronic transitions of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$, we introduce the hadronic loop mechanism [4, 5, 7–14]. Under this mechanism, the transition $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ occurs via the intermediate state $B^{(*)}_{(s)}\bar{B}^{(*)}_{(s)}$, which is as a bridge to connect the initial state $\Upsilon(5S)$ and final states

*Electronic address: wangb13@lzu.edu.cn
†Electronic address: xiangliu@lzu.edu.cn
‡Electronic address: chendy@seu.edu.cn

$\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$. The schematic diagrams for describing $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ under the hadronic loop mechanism are listed in Figures 1-3.



FIG. 1: The typical diagrams of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decay into $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta$ via the hadronic loop mechanism. Other similar diagrams composed of bottom-strange meson loop could be obtained by replacing the $B^{(*)}$ and $\bar{B}^{(*)}$ by $B_s^{(*)0}$ and $\bar{B}_s^{(*)0}$, respectively.



FIG. 2: The same as Fig. 1 but for $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$.



FIG. 3: The same as Fig. 1 but for $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$.

In our calculations, we adopt the effective Lagrangian approach, where the relevant effective Lagrangians can be constructed by considering both heavy quark symmetry and chiral symmetry [20, 21]. The pseudoscalar meson $B_{(s)}$ and the corresponding vector partner $B^*_{(s)}$ may form the spin doublet in the heavy quark limit $m_Q \to \infty$ [20]. This spin doublet can be represented by a 4×4 Dirac-type matrix H [20, 21], i.e.,

$$H_1 = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}\left[B^{*\mu}_{(s)}\gamma_\mu - B_{(s)}\gamma_5\right], \quad (1)$$

$$H_2 = \left[\bar{B}^{*\mu}_{(s)}\gamma_\mu - \bar{B}_{(s)}\gamma_5\right]\frac{1-\not{v}}{2}, \quad (2)$$

where H_1 and H_2 represent the current with quark constituents $b\bar{q}$ and $\bar{b}q$, respectively, and have definition $\bar{H}_{1,2} = \gamma^0 H^\dagger_{1,2}\gamma^0$. A normalization factor $\sqrt{m_{B^{(*)}_{(s)}}}$ is contained in the $B^{(*)}_{(s)}$ field, where $m_{B^{(*)}_{(s)}}$ is the mass of $B^{(*)}_{(s)}$ meson.

Bottomonia with orbital angular momentum L and different spin S can be grouped into a multiplet. In our calculation, we consider the S-wave and D-wave bottomonia. For S-wave bottomonium doublet, it has the form [20],

$$\mathcal{J} = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}\left[\Upsilon^\mu\gamma_\mu - \eta_b\gamma_5\right]\frac{1-\not{v}}{2}, \quad (3)$$

where v^μ is the 4-velocity of the heavy states, and Υ^μ and η_b correspond to 1^- and 0^- states, respectively. For D-wave bottomonium multiplet, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{J}^{\mu\lambda} = \frac{1+\not{v}}{2}\Bigg[&\Upsilon_3^{\mu\lambda\alpha}\gamma_\alpha + \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\left(\epsilon^{\mu\alpha\beta\rho}v_\alpha\gamma_\beta\Upsilon^\lambda_{2\rho} + \epsilon^{\lambda\alpha\beta\rho}v_\alpha\gamma_\beta\Upsilon^\mu_{2\rho}\right)\\ &+\frac{\sqrt{15}}{10}\left[(\gamma^\mu - v^\mu)\Upsilon_1^\lambda + (\gamma^\lambda - v^\lambda)\Upsilon_1^\mu\right]\\ &-\frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\left(g^{\mu\lambda} - v^\mu v^\lambda\right)\gamma_\alpha\Upsilon_1^\alpha + \eta_{b2}^{\mu\lambda}\gamma_5\Bigg]\frac{1-\not{v}}{2}, \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where all the tensor fields in Eq. (4) are traceless, symmetric and transverse. The fields Υ_3, Υ_2, Υ_1 and η_{b2} denote the bottomonia with $J^{PC} = 3^{--}$, 2^{--}, 1^{--} and 2^{-+}, respectively. The general effective Lagrangians describing the interactions of the open-bottom mesons with the S-wave or D-wave bottomonium multiplet are

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{L}_1 &= g_1\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{J}\bar{H}_2\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\gamma^\mu\bar{H}_1\right] + \mathrm{H.c.},\\ \mathcal{L}_2 &= g_2\mathrm{Tr}\left[\mathcal{J}^{\mu\lambda}\bar{H}_2\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\gamma_\lambda\bar{H}_1\right] + \mathrm{H.c.}.\end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The effective Lagrangian for the strong interactions between heavy mesons and light pseudoscalars can be established when considering both heavy quark $SU(2N_f)$ symmetry and chiral $SU(3)_L \bigotimes SU(3)_R$ symmetry. The octet of light pseudoscalar field is represented by the coset field $\xi(x) = e^{i\mathcal{M}/f}$ with

$$\mathcal{M} = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta_8 & \pi^+ & K^+ \\ \pi^- & -\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\pi^0 + \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\eta_8 & K^0 \\ K^- & \bar{K}^0 & -\sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\eta_8 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

and $f \simeq f_\pi = 131$ MeV, and the effective Lagrangian can be written as

$$\mathcal{L}_3 = ig_3\mathrm{Tr}\left[H_b\gamma^\mu\gamma^5\mathcal{A}^\mu_{ba}\bar{H}_a\right], \quad (7)$$

where the axial current $\mathcal{A}^\mu$ is defined as $\mathcal{A}^\mu = 1/2(\xi^\dagger\partial_\mu\xi - \xi\partial_\mu\xi^\dagger)$, and the leading order expansion of $\mathcal{A}_\mu$ gives $\mathcal{A}_\mu = i/f\partial_\mu\mathcal{M}$. By expanding Eqs. (5) and (7), we can further obtain the specific effective Lagrangians involved in our calculations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{\Upsilon\mathcal{B}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}^{(*)}} &= g_{\Upsilon\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}\Upsilon_\mu(\mathcal{B}^\dagger\partial^\mu\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}\partial^\mu\mathcal{B}^\dagger),\\
&+ig_{\Upsilon\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*}\epsilon_{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}\Big[\mathcal{B}^\dagger\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\alpha\mathcal{B}^{*\rho} - \mathcal{B}^{*\rho\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\alpha\mathcal{B}\Big]\partial^\beta\Upsilon^\lambda,\\
&+g_{\Upsilon\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}^*}\Big[-(\Upsilon^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu}\partial_\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger} - \Upsilon^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger}\partial_\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu})\\
&+\Upsilon^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu}\partial_\nu\mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\mu - \Upsilon^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger}\partial_\nu\mathcal{B}^*_\mu\Big], \qquad (8)\\
\mathcal{L}_{\Upsilon_J\mathcal{B}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}^{(*)}} &= g_{\Upsilon_1\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}}\Upsilon_1^\mu(\mathcal{B}^\dagger\partial_\mu\mathcal{B} - \mathcal{B}\partial_\mu\mathcal{B}^\dagger),\\
&+ig_{\Upsilon_1\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\Big[\mathcal{B}^\dagger\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\mathcal{B}^*_\beta - \mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\beta\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\mathcal{B}\Big]\partial_\nu\Upsilon_1^\alpha,\\
&+g_{\Upsilon_1\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}^*}\Big[-4(\Upsilon_1^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu}\partial_\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger} - \Upsilon_1^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger}\partial_\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu})\\
&+\Upsilon_1^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu}\partial_\nu\mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\mu - \Upsilon_1^\mu\mathcal{B}^{*\nu\dagger}\partial_\nu\mathcal{B}^*_\mu\Big],\\
&+ig_{\Upsilon_2\mathcal{B}\mathcal{B}^*}\Upsilon_2^{\mu\nu}(\mathcal{B}^\dagger\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu\mathcal{B}^*_\mu - \mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\mu\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\nu\mathcal{B}),\\
&+g_{\Upsilon_2\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}\Big[\mathcal{B}^{*^\nu\dagger}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\beta\mathcal{B}^{*\lambda} + \mathcal{B}^{*^\nu}\overleftrightarrow{\partial}^\beta\mathcal{B}^{*\lambda\dagger}\Big]\partial_\mu\Upsilon_2^{\alpha\lambda},\\
&+g_{\Upsilon_3\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}^*}\Upsilon_3^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Big[\mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\alpha\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\mathcal{B}^*_\nu + \mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\nu\overleftrightarrow{\partial}_\mu\mathcal{B}^*_\alpha\Big], \qquad (9)\\
\mathcal{L}_{\eta\mathcal{B}^{(*)}\mathcal{B}^{(*)}} &= g_{\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}\eta}[\mathcal{B}^*_\mu\partial^\mu\eta\mathcal{B}^\dagger + \mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\mu\partial^\mu\eta\mathcal{B}],\\
&+ig_{\mathcal{B}^*\mathcal{B}^*\eta}\epsilon^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}\partial_\mu\eta\partial_\nu\mathcal{B}^*_\alpha\mathcal{B}^{*\dagger}_\beta. \qquad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

In the above expressions, Υ and Υ_J are the abbreviations of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ and the $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$, respectively, and $\mathcal{B}^{(*)\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(*)}$ have the definitions $\mathcal{B}^{(*)\dagger} = (B^{(*)+}, B^{(*)0}, B_s^{(*)0})$ and $\mathcal{B}^{(*)} = (B^{(*)-}, \bar{B}^{(*)0}, \bar{B}_s^{(*)0})^T$. Later, we will list the concrete values of the coupling constants appearing in Eqs. (8)-(10).

With the above preparation, we write out the amplitudes of Fig. 1, which corresponds to $\Upsilon(5S) \to B^{(*)}(p_1)\bar{B}^{(*)}(p_2) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)(k_1)\eta(k_2)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(a)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon BB}(ip_2^\mu - ip_1^\mu)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\mu\Big]\\
&\times\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_1 BB^*}\epsilon^{\theta\eta\alpha\beta}(-iq_\theta + ip_{1\theta})ik_{1\eta}\epsilon^{*\alpha}_{\Upsilon_1}\Big]\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\rho\Big]\\
&\times\frac{1}{p_1^2 - m_B^2}\frac{1}{p_2^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\beta\rho} + q^\beta q^\rho/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(b)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon_{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big]\\
&\times\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_1 BB^*}\epsilon^{\kappa\sigma\tau\omega}(-iq_\kappa + ip_{3\kappa})ik_{1\sigma}\epsilon^{*\tau}_{\Upsilon_1}\Big]\\
&\times\Big[ig_{B^*B^*\eta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\theta\eta}(iq_\delta)ik_{2\gamma}\Big]\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\\
&\times\frac{-g^{\rho\eta} + p_4^\rho p_4^\eta/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\frac{-g^{\omega\theta} + q^\omega q^\theta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (12)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(c)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big]\\
&\times\Big[g_{\Upsilon_1 B^*B^*}\epsilon^{*\mu}_{\Upsilon_1}(4(iq_\mu - ip_{4\mu})g_{\nu\delta} + (ip_{4\nu} - iq_\nu)g_{\mu\delta})\Big]\\
&\times\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\eta\Big]\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\nu\rho} + p_4^\nu p_4^\rho/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\\
&\times\frac{-g^{\eta\delta} + q^\eta q^\delta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (13)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(d)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon B^*B^*}\epsilon_\Upsilon^\mu((ip_6^\mu - ip_5^\mu)g_{\nu\lambda}\\
&+(ip_5^\nu - ip_6^\nu)g_{\mu\lambda})\Big]\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_1 BB^*}\epsilon^{\theta\eta\alpha\beta}(-ip_5^\theta + iq^\theta)ik_{1\eta}\epsilon^{*\alpha}_{\Upsilon_1}\Big]\\
&\times\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\rho\Big]\frac{1}{q^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\beta\nu} + p_5^\beta p_5^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{p_5^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\\
&\times\frac{-g^{\rho\lambda} + p_6^\rho p_6^\lambda/m_{B^*}^2}{p_6^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(e)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon B^*B^*}\epsilon_\Upsilon^\delta((ip_6^\delta - ip_5^\delta)g_{\phi\lambda}\\
&+(ip_5^\phi - ip_6^\phi)g_{\delta\lambda})\Big]\times\Big[g_{\Upsilon_1 B^*B^*}(4(iq^\mu - ip_5^\mu)g_{\nu\alpha}\\
&+(ip_{5\nu} - iq_\nu)g_{\mu\alpha})\epsilon^{*\mu}_{\Upsilon_1}\Big]\Big[ig_{B^*B^*\eta}\epsilon^{\gamma\sigma\kappa\eta}(iq^\sigma)ik_2^\gamma\Big]\\
&\times\frac{-g^{\phi\nu} + p_5^\phi p_5^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{p_5^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\frac{-g^{\eta\lambda} + p_6^\eta p_6^\lambda/m_{B^*}^2}{p_6^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\\
&\times\frac{-g^{\kappa\alpha} + q^\kappa q^\alpha/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (15)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(f)} &= (i)^3\int\frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon_{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big]\\
&\times\Big[g_{\Upsilon_1 BB}(iq_\mu - ip_{3\mu})\epsilon^{*\mu}_{\Upsilon_1}\Big]\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_{2\nu}\Big]\\
&\times\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\rho\nu} + p_4^\rho p_4^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\frac{1}{q^2 - m_B^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

where the monopole form factor $\mathcal{F}(q^2)$ included in above amplitudes is for depicting the off-shell effect of the exchanged meson of the rescattering process $B^{(*)}\bar{B}^{(*)} \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta$, which can be represented as [21, 22]

$$\mathcal{F}(q^2) = \frac{m_E^2 - \Lambda^2}{q^2 - \Lambda^2}, \quad \Lambda = m_E + \alpha\Lambda_{QCD}, \qquad (17)$$

where m_E and q denote the mass and four-momentum of the exchanged meson, respectively. $\Lambda_{QCD} = 220$ MeV and α is a phenomenological dimensionless parameter.

The total transition amplitude for $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta$ can be further expressed as

$$\mathcal{A}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta] = 4\sum_{i=a,\ldots,f}\mathcal{A}^{(1)}_{(i)} + 2\sum_{i=a,\ldots,f}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(1)}_{(i)}, \qquad (18)$$

where the factor 4 in the first term on the right hand side of Eq. (18) comes from the charge conjugation transformation $B^{(*)} \leftrightarrow \bar{B}^{(*)}$ and isospin transformations $B^{(*)0} \leftrightarrow B^{(*)+}$ and $\bar{B}^{(*)0} \leftrightarrow B^{(*)-}$. While the factor 2 in the second term is due to the charge conjugation transformation $B_s^{(*)} \leftrightarrow \bar{B}_s^{(*)}$. Here, $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}_{(i)}$ denotes that the amplitude contribution is from intermediate $B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$ state.

For the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$ decay, the amplitudes corresponding to Fig. 2 (a)-(e) read

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(a)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon BB}(ip_2^\mu - ip_1^\mu)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\mu\Big] \\
&\times\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_2 BB^*}(-iq_\beta + ip_{1\beta})\epsilon^{*\alpha\beta}_{\Upsilon_2}\Big]\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\rho\Big] \\
&\times\frac{1}{p_1^2 - m_B^2}\frac{1}{p_2^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\alpha\rho} + q^\alpha q^\rho/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (19)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(b)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon_{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big] \\
&\times\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_2 BB^*}(-iq_\nu + ip_{3\nu})\epsilon^{*\mu\nu}_{\Upsilon_2}\Big]\Big[ig_{B^*B^*\eta}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\theta\eta}(iq_\delta)ik_{2\gamma}\Big] \\
&\times\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\rho\eta} + p_4^\rho p_4^\eta/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\mu\theta} + q^\mu q^\theta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (20)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(c)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big] \\
&\times\Big[g_{\Upsilon_2 B^*B^*}\epsilon^{\gamma\delta\mu\nu}(ip_4^\delta - iq^\delta)ik_1^\mu\epsilon^{*\gamma\theta}_{\Upsilon_2}\Big]\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\eta\Big] \\
&\times\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\nu\rho} + p_4^\nu p_4^\rho/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\eta\theta} + q^\eta q^\theta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (21)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(d)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon B^*B^*}\epsilon_\Upsilon^\mu((ip_6^\mu - ip_5^\mu)g_{\nu\lambda} \\
&+(ip_5^\nu - ip_6^\nu)g_{\mu\lambda})\Big]\Big[ig_{\Upsilon_2 BB^*}\epsilon^{*\alpha\beta}_{\Upsilon_2}(-ip_5^\beta + iq^\beta)\Big] \\
&\times\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\rho\Big]\frac{1}{q^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\alpha\nu} + p_5^\alpha p_5^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{p_5^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\rho\lambda} + p_6^\rho p_6^\lambda/m_{B^*}^2}{p_6^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (22)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(e)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon B^*B^*}\epsilon_\Upsilon^\delta((ip_6^\delta - ip_5^\delta)g_{\phi\lambda} \\
&+(ip_5^\phi - ip_6^\phi)g_{\delta\lambda})\Big]\Big[g_{\Upsilon_2 B^*B^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu}(-ip_5^\beta + iq^\beta)ik_1^\mu\epsilon^{*\alpha\tau}_{\Upsilon_2}\Big] \\
&\times\Big[ig_{B^*B^*\eta}\epsilon^{\gamma\sigma\kappa\eta}(iq^\sigma)ik_2^\gamma\Big]\frac{-g^{\phi\tau} + p_5^\phi p_5^\tau/m_{B^*}^2}{p_5^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\eta\lambda} + p_6^\eta p_6^\lambda/m_{B^*}^2}{p_6^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\frac{-g^{\kappa\nu} + q^\kappa q^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2). \qquad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

For $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$, the amplitudes relevant to Fig. 3 (a)-(b) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(3)}_{(a)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[ig_{\Upsilon BB^*}\epsilon^{\alpha\rho\beta\lambda}(ip_4^\alpha - ip_3^\alpha)(-ip^\beta)\epsilon_\Upsilon^\lambda\Big] \\
&\times\Big[g_{\Upsilon_3 B^*B^*}\epsilon^{*\mu\nu\delta}_{\Upsilon_3}(iq_\mu - ip_{4\mu})\Big] \\
&\times\Big[g_{BB^*\eta}ik_2^\eta\Big]\frac{1}{p_3^2 - m_B^2}\frac{-g^{\nu\rho} + p_4^\nu p_4^\rho/m_{B^*}^2}{p_4^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\eta\delta} + q^\eta q^\delta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2), \qquad (24)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}^{(3)}_{(b)} &= (i)^3 \int \frac{d^4q}{(2\pi)^4}\Big[g_{\Upsilon B^*B^*}\epsilon_\Upsilon^\delta((ip_6^\delta - ip_5^\delta)g_{\phi\lambda} \\
&+(ip_5^\phi - ip_6^\phi)g_{\delta\lambda})\Big]\Big[g_{\Upsilon_3 B^*B^*}\epsilon^{*\mu\nu\delta}_{\Upsilon_3}(iq_\mu - ip_{5\mu})\Big] \\
&\times\Big[ig_{B^*B^*\eta}\epsilon^{\gamma\sigma\kappa\eta}(iq^\sigma)ik_2^\gamma\Big]\frac{-g^{\phi\nu} + p_5^\phi p_5^\nu/m_{B^*}^2}{p_5^2 - m_{B^*}^2} \\
&\times\frac{-g^{\eta\lambda} + p_6^\eta p_6^\lambda/m_{B^*}^2}{p_6^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\frac{-g^{\kappa\delta} + q^\kappa q^\delta/m_{B^*}^2}{q^2 - m_{B^*}^2}\mathcal{F}^2(q^2). \qquad (25)
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the total amplitudes of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$ and $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$ can be represented as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta] &= 4\sum_{i=a,\ldots,e}\mathcal{A}^{(2)}_{(i)} + 2\sum_{i=a,\ldots,e}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}_{(i)}, \\
\mathcal{A}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta] &= 4\sum_{i=a,b}\mathcal{A}^{(3)}_{(i)} + 2\sum_{i=a,b}\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(3)}_{(i)},
\end{aligned}$$

where $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(2)}_{(i)}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}^{(3)}_{(i)}$ denote the amplitudes from $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$ and $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$, respectively, via intermediate $B_s^{(*)}\bar{B}_s^{(*)}$.

The coupling constants involved in Eqs. (8)-(10) can be determined from the experimental data and some relations based on heavy quark limit and chiral symmetry. The coupling constants of the interactions of $\Upsilon(5S)$ with $B_{(s)}^{(*)}\bar{B}_{(s)}^{(*)}$ can be fixed by the partial widths of $\Upsilon(5S) \to B_{(s)}^{(*)}\bar{B}_{(s)}^{(*)}$ [23]. Under heavy quark symmetry, $g_{\Upsilon_J B^{(*)}B^{(*)}}$ can be expressed as

$$g_{\Upsilon_1 BB} = -2g_2\frac{\sqrt{15}}{3}\sqrt{m_{\Upsilon_1}m_B m_B}, \qquad (26a)$$

$$g_{\Upsilon_1 BB^*} = g_2\frac{\sqrt{15}}{3}\sqrt{m_B m_{B^*}/m_{\Upsilon_1}}, \qquad (26b)$$

$$g_{\Upsilon_1 B^*B^*} = g_2\frac{\sqrt{15}}{15}\sqrt{m_{\Upsilon_1}m_{B^*}m_{B^*}}, \qquad (26c)$$

$$g_{\Upsilon_2 BB^*} = 2g_2\sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}\sqrt{m_{\Upsilon_2}m_B m_{B^*}}, \qquad (26d)$$

$$g_{\Upsilon_2 B^*B^*} = -2g_2\sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\sqrt{m_{B^*}m_{B^*}/m_{\Upsilon_2}}, \qquad (26e)$$

$$g_{\Upsilon_3 B^*B^*} = 2g_2\sqrt{m_{\Upsilon_3}m_{B^*}m_{B^*}}, \qquad (26f)$$

where g_2 can be fixed by the following approach. We first consider $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$ state, which is a vector meson. Thus, its

decay constant $f_{\mathcal{V}}$ can be defined as [24]

$$\langle 0|Q\gamma^{\mu}\bar{Q}|\mathcal{V}\rangle = f_{\mathcal{V}} M_{\mathcal{V}} \epsilon^{\mu}_{\mathcal{V}}, \tag{27}$$

where $M_{\mathcal{V}}$ and $\epsilon^{\mu}_{\mathcal{V}}$ denote the mass and polarization vector of vector meson, respectively. The decay constant $f_{\mathcal{V}}$ is related to the electromagnetic decay [24], i.e.,

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{V}\to e^+e^-} = \frac{4\pi}{3}\frac{\alpha^2}{M_{\mathcal{V}}} f_{\mathcal{V}}^2 C_{\mathcal{V}}, \tag{28}$$

where α is the fine-structure constant and $C_{\mathcal{V}} = 1/9$ for $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$ meson.

In Ref. [25], Godfrey *et al.* have calculated the the leptonic decay width of $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$ in the framework of semi-relativistic quark model, i.e., $\Gamma[\Upsilon(1^3D_1) \to e^+e^-] = 1.38$ eV. Thus, we can get decay constant $f_{\Upsilon_1} = 23.8$ MeV. By the relation $g_{\Upsilon_1 BB} \simeq M_{\Upsilon_1}/f_{\Upsilon_1}$ under vector meson dominance ansatz [21, 26, 27] and Eq. (26a), then we obtain $g_2 = 9.83\ \text{GeV}^{-3/2}$, which can be applied to estimate other coupling constants listed in Eqs. (26b)-(26f), as shown in Table I.

In the $SU(3)$ quark model, η and η' are mixings between octet η_8 and singlet η_0,

$$|\eta\rangle = \cos\theta|\eta_8\rangle - \sin\theta|\eta_0\rangle, \quad |\eta'\rangle = \sin\theta|\eta_8\rangle + \cos\theta|\eta_0\rangle, \tag{29}$$

where the mixing angle $\theta = -19.1^\circ$ is fixed by the experimental data [28, 29]. Additionally, there exist the relations of the coupling constants, i.e.,

$$g_{BB^*\eta} = -\frac{1}{2} g_{B_sB_s^*\eta} = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{3}} \frac{\sqrt{m_B m_{B^*}}}{f_\pi} g_3, \tag{30}$$

$$g_{B^*B^*\eta} = \frac{g_{BB^*\eta}}{m_{B^*}}, \; g_{B_s^*B_s^*\eta} = \frac{g_{B_sB_s^*\eta}}{m_{B_s^*}} \tag{31}$$

with $f_\pi \simeq 131$ MeV and $g_3 \simeq 0.569$, which is obtained by the measured decay width of $D^{*+} \to D^0\pi^+$ [23]. All values of the coupling constants applied in our work are listed in Table I. Other input parameters include the masses of the $\Upsilon(5S)$, the $\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$, the $\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$, th $\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$ and the η which are 10.876 GeV, 10.153 GeV [15], 10.164 GeV [23], 10.174 GeV [15] and 547.8 MeV, respectively.

Finally, the general decay width of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ reads

$$\Gamma\left[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta\right] = \frac{1}{3}\frac{1}{8\pi}\frac{|\mathbf{k}_2|}{m^2_{\Upsilon(5S)}}\overline{|\mathcal{A}|^2}, \tag{32}$$

where overlined bar indicates an average over the polarizations of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ in the initial state and sum over the polarizations of the $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ in the final state.

III. NUMERICAL RESULTS

Until now, we have fixed all coupling constants just shown in Sec. II. However, in our model there still exists a free parameter α, which is introduced in Eq. (17) to parameterize the cutoff Λ. Since the cutoff Λ should be not deviated from the physical mass of the exchanged mesons, α is expected to be of order unity just indicated in Ref. [22]. Thus, in this work we take the range $0.5 \leqslant \alpha \leqslant 1.0$ to present the numerical result of branching ratios for $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$, where the dependence of branching ratios on α are shown in the left panel of Fig. 4.

TABLE I: The values of the adopted coupling constants in our calculations. Here, the values shown in the first row can be directly extracted by the partial decay widths of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays into $B^{(*)}_{(s)}\bar{B}^{(*)}_{(s)}$ in Ref. [23]. The values listed in the second, the third, the fourth and fifth rows satisfy the relations constrained by heavy quark symmetry (see Eqs. (26a)-(26f) and Eqs. (30)-(31)).

g	BB	BB^*	B^*B^*	B_sB_s	$B_sB_s^*$	$B_s^*B_s^*$
$\Upsilon(5S)$	1.2	0.1 GeV^{-1}	2.1	1.0	0.1 GeV^{-1}	6.7
$\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$	−427.2	21.1 GeV^{-1}	43.1	−434.2	21.5 GeV^{-1}	43.8
$\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$	⋯	407.2	−13.4 GeV^{-1}	⋯	414.0	−13.6 GeV^{-1}
$\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$	⋯	⋯	334.1	⋯	⋯	339.7
η	⋯	18.8	3.5 GeV^{-1}	⋯	−37.6	−6.9 GeV^{-1}



FIG. 4: (color online). The dependence of the branching fractions of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ on α (the left panel), and the dependence of the ratios $\mathcal{B}_{12} = \Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta]/\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta]$, $\mathcal{B}_{23} = \Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta]/\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta]$, and $\mathcal{B}_{13} = \Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta]/\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta]$ on α (the right panel).

We predict

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta] &= (0.5 \sim 5.1) \times 10^{-3}, \\
\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta] &= (0.7 \sim 7.5) \times 10^{-3}, \\
\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta] &= (0.9 \sim 9.6) \times 10^{-4},
\end{aligned}$$

which are comparable with that of the observed $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-$ $(n = 1, 2, 3)$ [1]. In addition, we also notice that the Belle Collaboration reported a preliminary result of $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1D)\pi^+\pi^-$ [30], where a production chain $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1D)\pi^+\pi^- \to \chi_b(1P)\gamma\pi^+\pi^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-$ was measured, by which the branching ratio $\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(1D)\pi^+\pi^- \to \Upsilon(1S)\gamma\gamma\pi^+\pi^-] = (2.0 \pm 0.4 \pm 0.3) \times 10^{-4}$ was obtained. If combing this preliminary result with data $\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(1^3D_2) \to \chi_{b1}\gamma] = 74.7\%$ [25] and $\mathcal{B}[\chi_{b1} \to \Upsilon(1S)\gamma] =$

$(33.9\pm2.2)\%$ [23], we roughly get $\mathcal{B}[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1D)\pi^+\pi^-] = (0.5\sim 1)\times 10^{-3}$. If checking decay behaviors of $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(nS)\pi^+\pi^-(\eta)$ $(n=1,2)$ [23, 30], we may find the dipion and η transitions of $\Upsilon(5S)$ are of the same order of magnitude. These predicted branching ratios of $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ in this work are reasonable if comparing it with $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1D)\pi^+\pi^-$. Thus, it is obvious that there may exist anomalous $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions, and we hope future experiment like Belle and BelleII can test our predictions.

In addition, we also obtain several typical ratios, which are independent of the coupling constant g_2, and can reflect the relative magnitude of these predicted branching ratios of $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$. Just as shown in the right panel of Fig. 4, these obtained ratios are weakly dependent on α. Thus, we list their values below

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_{12} &= \frac{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta]}{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta]} = 0.68,\\ \mathcal{B}_{13} &= \frac{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta]}{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta]} = 5.34,\\ \mathcal{B}_{23} &= \frac{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta]}{\Gamma[\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta]} = 7.79.\end{aligned}$$

Among these $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ decays, $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_1)\eta$ and $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_2)\eta$ have the same order of magnitude, while $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_3)\eta$ is about one order of magnitude smaller than these two decays.

IV. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

With the experimental progress on the hidden-bottom decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ [1–3, 31], the importance of hadronic loop mechanism to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays has been realized by theorist [4, 5, 7–11]. When studying the processes relevant to higher bottomonia, the coupled-channel effect cannot be ignored since more hadronic channels are open.

In this work, the hadronic loop mechanism, which is an equivalent description of coupled-channel effect, was applied to the study of the $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ $(J=1,2,3)$ transitions. Our calculation shows that anomalous $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ $(J=1,2,3)$ transitions may exist, which can be tested by future experiment like Belle and forthcoming BelleII. Associated with former studies of the hadronic decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$ [4–11], the present work again proves that the hadronic loop mechanism as one of non-perturbative QCD effects indeed plays important role in understanding anomalous hidden-bottom decays of the $\Upsilon(5S)$.

We need to specify that how to experimentally identify D-wave bottomonia $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ $(J=1,2,3)$ will be the first step of exploring the $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions. When analyzing the $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ decays, it is easy way to reconstrute the η by the $\eta\to\gamma\gamma$ process. And then, the $M_{miss}(\eta)$ distribution can be obtained. By analyzing $M_{miss}(\eta)$ distribution, the signals of $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ can be found. This approach was adopted in studying the $\Upsilon(4S)\to\eta h_b(1P)$ decay [32]. In Table II, we list some theoretical values of the masses of D-wave bottomonia $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$, by which we notice that there exist small mass splittings between D-wave bottomonia $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$. Thus, there should exist some difficulties to distinguish different $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ states by the obtained $M_{miss}(\eta)$ distribution of $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ since the $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ states are close to each other, which is the challenge to experimental analysis of $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$.

In summary, searching for the predicted $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ transitions will be a potential and intriguing research issue to future experiment, which will make the study of the hadronic transitions of higher bottomonia become more abundant. What is more important is that the search for the $\Upsilon(5S)\to\Upsilon(1^3D_J)\eta$ can further test the importance of hadronic contribution to the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays. We are waiting for the experimental progress on this issue.

TABLE II: The calculated masses of bottomonia $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ $(J=1,2,3)$ from different theoretical models.

State	Ref. [15]	Ref. [16]	Ref. [25]	Ref. [33]	Ref. [34]	Ref. [35]
$\Upsilon(1^3D_1)$	10.153	10.153	10.138	10.155	10.151	10.150
$\Upsilon(1^3D_2)$	10.163	10.158	10.147	10.162	10.161	10.156
$\Upsilon(1^3D_3)$	10.174	10.162	10.155	10.167	10.168	10.160

Appendix A: The constructions of polarization tensors

The polarization tensor of high spin state, which has angular momentum j and helicity λ, can be constructed with polarization vectors and Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. In general, there exists a relation [36],

$$\begin{aligned}\epsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda) &= \sum_{\lambda_{n-1},\lambda_n}\langle n-1,\lambda_{n-1};n,\lambda_n\rangle\\ &\quad\times\epsilon_{\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{n-1}}(p,\lambda_{n-1})\epsilon_{\mu_n}(p,\lambda_n),\end{aligned}\tag{33}$$

where $\lambda=-n,-n+1,\cdots,n$, and the polarization tensor must satisfy the following conditions,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{Transverse}: p^{\mu_i}\epsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_i\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda) &= 0,\\ \text{Symmetric}: \epsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_i\cdots\mu_j\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda) &= \epsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_j\cdots\mu_i\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda),\\ \text{Traceless}: g^{\mu_i\mu_j}\epsilon_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_i\cdots\mu_j\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda) &= 0,\\ \epsilon^*_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda)\epsilon^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda') &= (-1)^n\delta_{\lambda\lambda'},\\ \epsilon^*_{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}(p,\lambda) &= (-1)^\lambda\epsilon^{\mu_1\cdots\mu_n}(p,-\lambda).\end{aligned}$$

The detailed form of the polarization vectors of the $j=1$ state with different helicity is

$$\epsilon^\mu(\pm1)=(0,\mp1,-i,0)/\sqrt{2},\quad \epsilon^\mu(0)=(p_f^3,0,0,p_f^0)/m_f.\tag{34}$$

According to Eq. (33), we further write out the polarization

tensors, i.e.,

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(\pm 2) = \epsilon^{\mu}(\pm 1)\epsilon^{\nu}(\pm 1), \quad (35a)$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(\pm 1) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}}\left[\epsilon^{\mu}(\pm 1)\epsilon^{\nu}(0) + \epsilon^{\mu}(0)\epsilon^{\nu}(\pm 1)\right], \quad (35b)$$

$$\epsilon^{\mu\nu}(0) = \sqrt{\frac{1}{6}}\left[\epsilon^{\mu}(+1)\epsilon^{\nu}(-1) + \epsilon^{\mu}(-1)\epsilon^{\nu}(+1)\right] + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\epsilon^{\mu}(0)\epsilon^{\nu}(0) \quad (35c)$$

for of $j = 2$ state, and

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\pm 3) = \epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\pm 2)\epsilon^{\gamma}(\pm 1), \quad (36a)$$

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\pm 2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\pm 2)\epsilon^{\gamma}(0) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\pm 1)\epsilon^{\gamma}(\pm 1), \quad (36b)$$

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(\pm 1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{15}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\pm 2)\epsilon^{\gamma}(\mp 1) + 2\sqrt{\frac{2}{15}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\pm 1)\epsilon^{\gamma}(0) + \sqrt{\frac{2}{5}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(0)\epsilon^{\gamma}(\pm 1), \quad (36c)$$

$$\epsilon^{\alpha\beta\gamma}(0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(+1)\epsilon^{\gamma}(-1) + \sqrt{\frac{3}{5}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(0)\epsilon^{\gamma}(0) + \frac{1}{\sqrt{5}}\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(-1)\epsilon^{\gamma}(+1) \quad (36d)$$

for $j = 3$ state. Here, the expressions of the vector $\epsilon^{\gamma}(\lambda)$ and the tensor $\epsilon^{\alpha\beta}(\lambda)$ have been given in Eq. (34) and Eqs. (35a)-(35c).

V. ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We would like to thank Estia Eichten and Susana Coito for useful discussions. This project is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grants No. 11222547, No. 11175073, No. 11375240, and No. 11035006, and by Chinese Academy of Sciences under the founding Y104160YQ0 and the agreement No. 2015-BH-02. XL is also supported by the National Program for Support of Top-notch Young Professionals.

[1] K. F. Chen *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Observation of anomalous $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ production near the $\Upsilon(5S)$ resonance, Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 112001 (2008).

[2] X. H. He *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Observation of $e^+e^- \to \pi^+\pi^-\pi^0\chi_{bJ}$ and Search for $X_b \to \omega\Upsilon(1S)$ at $\sqrt{s} = 10.867$GeV, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 142001 (2014).

[3] A. Bondar *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], Observation of two charged bottomonium-like resonances in $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 122001 (2012).

[4] C. Meng and K. T. Chao, Scalar resonance contributions to the dipion transition rates of $\Upsilon(4S, 5S)$ in the re-scattering model, Phys. Rev. D **77**, 074003 (2008).

[5] C. Meng and K. T. Chao, Peak shifts due to $B^*\bar{B}^*$ rescattering in $\Upsilon(5S)$ dipion transitions, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 034022 (2008).

[6] Y. A. Simonov and A. I. Veselov, Bottomonium dipion transitions, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 034024 (2009).

[7] D. Y. Chen, J. He, X. Q. Li and X. Liu, Dipion invariant mass distribution of the anomalous $\Upsilon(1S)\pi^+\pi^-$ and $\Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ production near the peak of $\Upsilon(10860)$, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074006 (2011).

[8] D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and S. L. Zhu, Charged bottomonium-like states $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$ and the $\Upsilon(5S) \to \Upsilon(2S)\pi^+\pi^-$ decay, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 074016 (2011).

[9] D. Y. Chen and X. Liu, $Z_b(10610)$ and $Z_b(10650)$ structures produced by the initial single pion emission in the $\Upsilon(5S)$ decays, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 094003 (2011).

[10] C. Meng and K. T. Chao, $\Upsilon(4S, 5S) \to \Upsilon(1S)\eta$ transitions in the rescattering model and the new BaBar measurement, Phys. Rev. D **78**, 074001 (2008).

[11] D. Y. Chen, X. Liu and T. Matsuki, Explaining the anomalous $\Upsilon(5S) \to \chi_{bJ}\omega$ decays through the hadronic loop effect, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 034019 (2014).

[12] X. Liu, X. Q. Zeng and X. Q. Li, Study on contributions of hadronic loops to decays of $J/\psi \to$ vector + pseudoscalar mesons, Phys. Rev. D **74**, 074003 (2006).

[13] X. Liu, B. Zhang and X. Q. Li, The puzzle of excessive non-$D\bar{D}$ component of the inclusive $\psi(3770)$ decay and the long-distant contribution, Phys. Lett. B **675**, 441 (2009).

[14] G. Li, X. H. Liu, Q. Wang and Q. Zhao, Further understanding of the non-$D\bar{D}$ decays of $\psi(3770)$, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 014010 (2013).

[15] E. Eichten and F. Feinberg, Spin Dependent Forces in QCD, Phys. Rev. D **23**, 2724 (1981).

[16] D. Ebert, R. N. Faustov and V. O. Galkin, Properties of heavy quarkonia and B_c mesons in the relativistic quark model, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 014027 (2003).

[17] S. Godfrey and N. Isgur, Mesons in a Relativized Quark Model with Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **32**, 189 (1985).

[18] G. Bonvicini *et al.* [CLEO Collaboration], First observation of a $\Upsilon(1D)$ state, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 032001 (2004).

[19] P. del Amo Sanchez *et al.* [BaBar Collaboration], Observation of the $\Upsilon(1^3D_J)$ Bottomonium State through Decays to $\pi^+\pi^-\Upsilon(1S)$, Phys. Rev. D **82**, 111102 (2010).

[20] R. Casalbuoni, A. Deandrea, N. Di Bartolomeo, R. Gatto, F. Feruglio and G. Nardulli, Phenomenology of heavy meson chiral Lagrangians, Phys. Rept. **281**, 145 (1997).

[21] P. Colangelo, F. De Fazio and T. N. Pham, Nonfactorizable contributions in B decays to charmonium: The Case of $B^- \to K^- h_c$, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 054023 (2004).

[22] H. Y. Cheng, C. K. Chua and A. Soni, Final state interactions in hadronic B decays, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 014030 (2005).

[23] J. Beringer *et al.* [Particle Data Group Collaboration], Review

of Particle Physics (RPP), Chins. Phys. C **38**, 090001 (2014).
[24] M. Neubert, V. Rieckert, B. Stech and Q.P. Xu, in Heavy Flavours, edited by A.J. Buras and M. Lindner, World Scientific 1992.
[25] S. Godfrey and K. Moats, Bottomonium Mesons and Strategies for their Observation, Phys. Rev. D **92**, 054034 (2015).
[26] Z. w. Lin and C. M. Ko, A Model for J/ψ absorption in hadronic matter, Phys. Rev. C **62**, 034903 (2000).
[27] A. Deandrea, G. Nardulli and A. D. Polosa, J/ψ couplings to charmed resonances and to π, Phys. Rev. D **68**, 034002 (2003).
[28] D. Coffman *et al.* [MARK-III Collaboration], Measurements of J/ψ Decays Into a Vector and a Pseudoscalar Meson, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 2695 (1988) Erratum: [Phys. Rev. D **40**, 3788 (1989)].
[29] J. Jousset *et al.* [DM2 Collaboration], The $J/\psi \to$ Vector + Pseudoscalar Decays and the η, η' Quark Content, Phys. Rev. D **41**, 1389 (1990).
[30] P. Krokovny, Recent results on charmonium/bottomonium(-like) states at BaBar and BELLE, Conference talk at Moriond QCD 2013.
[31] I. Adachi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], First observation of the P-wave spin-singlet bottomonium states $h_b(1P)$ and $h_b(2P)$, Phys. Rev. Lett. **108**, 032001 (2012).
[32] U. Tamponi *et al.* [Belle Collaboration], First observation of the hadronic transition $\Upsilon(4S) \to \eta h_b(1P)$ and new measurement of the $h_b(1P)$ and $\eta_b(1S)$ parameters, Phys. Rev. Lett. **115**, 142001 (2015).
[33] S. N. Gupta, S. F. Radford and W. W. Repko, Quarkonium Spectra and Quantum Chromodynamics, Phys. Rev. D **26**, 3305 (1982).
[34] P. Moxhay and J. L. Rosner, Relativistic Corrections in Quarkonium, Phys. Rev. D **28**, 1132 (1983).
[35] W. Kwong and J. L. Rosner, D Wave Quarkonium Levels of the Υ Family, Phys. Rev. D **38**, 279 (1988).
[36] J. J. Zhu and T. N. Ruan, Wave functions for massive particles of integer spins, Commun. Theor. Phys. **32**, 293 (1999).